

How to ascend University dogmas to purer dogmas nearer the truth

von Neumann was a great mathematician. If we are to accept mathematics at all, we must accept von Neumann. Despite this, von Neumann's theorem on the nonexistence of reality is a major component of the ideology of Lunacy in physics today. He mastered the mathematical intricacies of Arclight bombing in Vietnam. Worst of all perhaps he was an avid proponent of theoretical perversion in his deliberate detachment in his economics of games in describing only one third of its game theoretic structure, the capitalist control one, and its currency of money. Forgiving him as we must, this is unfortunately by no means the worst aspect of a distressing attachment to the universal acceptance of tripe in university teaching of economics.

However much the structure of capitalism he describes is near the truth, this system is entirely absent in the teaching of capitalist economics today. It is a sad reflection on the fascist control structures in Universities which apart from attachment to systems of fraud and embezzlement which cannot be accepted but the component allocating money to supply the social needs of the universities must is that the mass delusion that capitalist economics is described in terms of supply and demand is an aspect of mass conformity which amounts to collective acceptance of tripe, indeed which is a precisely defined term we can supply on demand. This unfortunate acceptance of an idea which is supported by no sufficiently detailed conceptual analysis and is confused, is distressing to those who wish to devise university social systems to ascend to the truth rather than the truth descend towards them.

Certainly we must accept where we stand. Part of the problem is we do not accept how low we are, and it might be almost nothing. There are quite clear ways that the university system can ascend to the truth.

It means detachment of its administration and separation into sectors for the acquisition of knowledge (which has a plan of ascent, good dogma to be accepted, control of dogma and considerations of bad dogma), the administration itself, which should have the care of its dogma structure as it ascends to the truth via its money currency system of control and allocate the funds to do so, and on the right since all these systems promote stability we have the system of innovation. Innovation is murder to the stable social structure. It has a control structure.

It is the optimum strategy of a stable university social structure to deeply accept innovation where its objective is the social stability of the university or incorporates this objective. At the same time its objective of stability requires it to reject innovation for the sake of innovation.